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- PVB - Present Value of all Projected Benefits:
- Discounted value (at valuation date $-6 / 30 / 15$ ), of all future expected benefit payments based on various (actuarial) assumptions
- Actuarial Liability:
- Discounted value (at valuation date) of benefits earned through valuation date [value of past service benefit]
- Portion of PVB "earned" at measurement
- Current Normal Cost:
- Portion of PVB allocated to (or "earned" during) current year
- Value of employee and employer current service benefit
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DEFINITIONS

Present Value of Benefits
June 30, 2015


Present Value of Benefits June 30, 2015


- Target- Have money in the bank to cover Actuarial Liability (past service)
- Unfunded Liability - Money short of target at valuation date
- Excess Assets / Surplus:
- Money over and above target at that point in time
- Doesn't mean you're done contributing
- Super Funded:
- Assets cover whole pie (PVB)
- If everything goes exactly like PERS calculated, you'll never have to put another (employer or employee) dime in
- Contribution policy changes:
- No asset smoothing
- No rolling amortization
- 5-year ramp up
- Included in 6/30/13 valuation (first impact $15 / 16$ rates; full impact $19 / 20$ )
- Assumption changes:
- Anticipate future mortality improvement
- Other, less significant, changes
- Included in 6/30/14 valuation (first impact 16/17 rates; full impact 20/21)
- Risk Mitigation Strategy
- Move to more conservative investments over time
- Only when investment return is better than expected
- Lower discount rate in concert
- Essentially use $\approx 50 \%$ of investment gains to pay for cost increases
- Likely get to $6.5 \%$ over $\approx 20$ years
- CalPERS Board reviewing their Capital Market Assumptions next summer/fall
- Likely results in reduction to discount rate of 25-50 basis points

CalPers Changes



This page intentionally blank


|  | 1993 | 2005 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actives <br> Counts <br> Average <br> - Age <br> - City Service <br> - PERSable Wages <br> Total PERSable Wages (millions) | $\begin{array}{r} 499 \\ 40 \\ 9 \\ \$ 40,300 \\ 20.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 576 \\ 42 \\ 10 \\ \$ 59,400 \\ 34.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 497 \\ 44 \\ 13 \\ \$ 76,200 \\ 37.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 510 \\ 45 \\ 12 \\ \$ 77,500 \\ 39.5 \end{array}$ |
| Receiving Payments <br> Counts <br> - Service <br> - Disablity <br> - Beneficiaries <br> - Total <br> Average Annual City Provided Benefit ${ }^{1}$ <br> - Service <br> - Disability <br> - Service Retirements in last 5 years | 234 | 298 38 42 378 $\$ 13,700$ 7,200 22,700 | 493 44 42 579 $\$ 28,700$ 10,200 36,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 516 \\ 45 \\ 44 \\ 605 \\ \\ \$ 29,000 \\ 10,200 \\ 26,900 \end{array}$ |

1 Average City provided pensions are based on City service \& City benefit formula, and are not representative of benefits for long service employees.
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6/30/16 \& 6/30/17 funded status estimated.
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- Market Value Investment Return:
- June 30, 2016
$0.6 \%^{2}$
- June 30, 2017
$9.4 \%{ }^{3}$
- Future returns based on stochastic analysis using 1,000 trials

| Single Year Returns at ${ }^{4}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $75^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5\% Investment Mix | 0.6\% | 7.5\% | 15.3\% |
| 6.5\% Investment Mix | 1.3\% | 6.5\% | 11.9\% |

- No Other: Gains/Losses, Method/Assumption Changes, Benefit Improvements
- Excludes Employer Paid Member Contributions (EPMC)
- Tier $22 \% @ 60$ effective 11/24/12
- New hire assumptions:
- Assumes $50 \%$ of 2013 new hires will be Classic Tier 2 Members ( $2 \% @ 60$ ) and $50 \%$ will be New Members with PEPRA benefits
- Assumes Classic Tier 2 Members will decrease from $50 \%$ to $0 \%$ of new hires over 20 years
${ }^{2}$ Based on CalPERS press release on $7 / 18 / 16$, preliminary investment return of $0.61 \%$.
${ }_{4}^{3}$ June 30, 2017 return based on CalPERS return of $3.6 \%$ through $9 / 30 / 16$ and assumed future returns for 9 months.
$4 \mathrm{~N}^{\text {th }}$ percentile means N percentage of our trials result in returns lower than the indicated rates.
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## Contribution Projections - Miscellaneous

- Current Amortization
- 2014 Base - 17 years level \% of pay
- 2014 Credit - 29 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2015 Loss - 30 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2016 Loss - 30 years (ramp up and ramp down), $1^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2018/19
- Alternative \#1 Amortization
- 2014 Base - 17 years level \% of pay
- 2014 Credit - 29 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2015 Loss - 20 years level $\%$ of pay
- 2016 Loss - 20 years level $\%$ of pay, $1^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2017/18

Alternative \#2 Amortization

- 2014 Base - 17 years level dollar
- 2014 Credit - 17 years level dollar
- 2015 Loss - 17 years level dollar
- 2016 Loss - 17 years level dollar, $1^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2017/18















(B)
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|  | 1993 | 2005 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actives <br> Counts <br> Average <br> - Age <br> - City Service <br> - PERSable Wages <br> Total PERSable Wages (millions) | $\begin{array}{r} 263 \\ 39 \\ 14 \\ \$ 54,000 \\ 14.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268 \\ 40 \\ 13 \\ \$ 90,700 \\ 24.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269 \\ 39 \\ 11 \\ \$ 111,200 \\ 29.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269 \\ 39 \\ 11 \\ \$ 111,800 \\ 301 \end{array}$ |
| Receiving Payments <br> Counts <br> - Service <br> - Disablity <br> - Beneficiaries <br> - Total <br> Average Annual City Provided Benefit ${ }^{5}$ <br> - Service <br> - Disability <br> - Service Retirements in last 5 years | 132 | 153 108 22 283 $\$ 13,700$ 7,200 62,300 | 255 124 31 410 $\$ 73,100$ 43,800 90,200 | 263 126 35 424 $\$ 76,500$ 44,500 93,000 |

[^0] representative of benefits for long service employees.





## CONTRIBUTION Projections - SAFETY

- Market Value Investment Return:
- June 30, 2016
$0.6 \%{ }^{6}$
- June 30, 2017
- Future returns based on stochastic analysis using 1,000 trials Single Year Returns at ${ }^{8} \quad \underline{25^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }} \quad 50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile $\quad 75^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
- $7.5 \%$ Investment Mix 0.6\% 7.5\% 15.3\%
- $6.5 \%$ Investment Mix
1.3\%
6.5\%
11.9\%
- No Other: Gains/Losses, Method/Assumption Changes, Benefit Improvements
- Excludes Employer Paid Member Contributions (EPMC)

■ Tier 2 Police 3\%@55 and Fire 2\%@50 effective 11/24/12

- New hire assumptions:
- Assumes $50 \%$ of 2013 new hires will be Classic Tier 2 Members and $50 \%$ will be New Members with PEPRA benefits
- Assumes Classic Tier 2 Members will decrease from $50 \%$ to $0 \%$ of new hires over 10 years

[^1]- Current Amortization
- 2014 Base - 17 years level \% of pay
- 2014 Credit - 29 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2015 Loss - 30 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2016 Loss - 30 years (ramp up and ramp down), $1^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2018/19
- Alternative \#1 Amortization
- 2014 Base - 17 years level \% of pay
- 2014 Credit - 29 years (ramp up and ramp down)
- 2015 Loss - 20 years level $\%$ of pay
- 2016 Loss - 20 years level \% of pay, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2017/18
- Alternative \#2 Amortization
- 2014 Base - 17 years level dollar
- 2014 Credit - 17 years level dollar
- 2015 Loss - 17 years level dollar
- 2016 Loss - 17 years level dollar, $1^{\text {st }}$ payment in 2017/18


## Current






Current



## Alternative \#1-Safety

Alternative \#1 - 20-Year Level Percentage of Projected Payroll
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## PEPRA

- Target of $50 \%$ of total normal cost for everyone
- New members must pay greater of $50 \%$ of total normal cost or bargained amount if higher
- Employer cannot pay any part of new member required employee contributions
- Employer may impose current employees pay $50 \%$ of total normal cost (limited to certain amounts) if not agreed through collective bargaining by $1 / 1 / 18$
- Miscellaneous Plan:

| Classic Members |  | New Members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tier } 1 \\ \underline{2.5 \%} \text { @ } 55 \text { FAE1 } \end{gathered}$ | Tier 2 2\%@60 FAE3 | PEPRA 2\%@62 FAE3 |
| 8.6\% | 5.8\% | 5.58\% |
| 8.0\% | 7.0\% | 5.50\% |
| 16.6\% | 12.8\% | 11.08\% |
| 8.3\% | 6.4\% | 5.54\% |

## PEPRA

- Safety Plan:

|  | Classic Members |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\frac{\text { New }}{\text { Members }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1 | Tier 2 Police | Tier 2 Fire | PEPRA |
|  | 3\%@50 | 3\%@55 | 2\%@50 | 2.7\%@57 |
|  | FAE1 | FAE3 | FAE3 | FAE3 |
| - Employer Normal Cost | 18.6\% | 15.8\% | 14.2\% | 10.68\% |
| - Member Normal Cost | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.50\% |
| - Total Normal Cost | 27.6\% | 24.8\% | 23.2\% | 21.18\% |
| - $50 \%$ Target | 13.8\% | 12.4\% | 11.6\% | 10.59\% |

- Accelerated Funding
- Fresh Start
$\square$ Higher short term payments
$\square$ Less interest and lower long term payments
- One time payments
- City resolution to use portion of one time money
- Target specific amortization bases
$\square$ Shorter periods - bigger rate impact but lower long term savings
$\square$ Longer periods: lower rate impact but higher long term savings
- Pension Obligation Bond (POB)
- Interest arbitrage between expected CalPERS earnings and rate paid on POB
- Not guaranteed
- Borrow from General Fund
- Pay GF back like a loan
- Payments come from all funds
- Internal Service Fund
- Restricted investments
$\square$ Likely low ( $0.5 \%-1.0 \%$ ) investment returns
- Short term/high quality
$\square$ Designed for preservation of principal
- Assets could be used by Council for other purposes

■ > 50 trusts established

- PARS \& PFM
- Investments significantly less restricted than City investment funds
- Designed for long term returns
- Likely much higher ( $5 \%-7 \%$ ) investment return
- Assets could not be used by the Board for other purposes
- Can only be used to
- Reimburse City for CalPERS contributions
- Make payments directly to CalPERS
- Reasons agencies have established:
- Mitigate Rate Volatility
- Mitigate impact of Normal Cost minimum contribution
- Pay down Unfunded Liability
$\square$ Not as compelling


## Irrevocable Supplemental (§115) Pension trust

- GASB will almost certainly weigh in on certain accounting issues
- Can Supplemental Pension Trust assets be included in Fiduciary Net Position?
- If assets can be included would inclusion impact discount rate?

Parameters:

- Initial seed money?
- Additional amount contributed in future years?
- Target budget rate?
- Year target budget rate kicks in? - Before or after CalPERS rate exceeds budgeted rate?


IRREVOCABLE Supplemental (§115) PENSION TRUST




[^0]:    ${ }^{5}$ Average City provided pensions are based on City service \& City benefit formula, and are not

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ Based on CalPERS press release on $7 / 18 / 16$, preliminary investment return of $0.61 \%$.
    ${ }^{7}$ June 30, 2017 return based on CalPERS return of $3.6 \%$ through $9 / 30 / 16$ and assumed future returns for 9 months.
    $8 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{th}}$ percentile means N percentage of our trials result in returns lower than the indicated rates.

