## CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH

Newport Beach, California 92660 949 644-3004 | 949 644-3039 FAX

100 Civic Center Drive

newportbeachca.gov



September 24, 2019

*Mayor*Diane Brooks Dixon

Mayor Pro Tem
Will O'Neill

Council Members

Brad Avery

Joy Brenner

Marshall "Duffy" Duffield Jeff Herdman

Kevin Muldoon

The Honorable Gavin Newsom Governor, State of California State Capitol, First Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SB 330 (Skinner) Housing Crisis Act of 2019

**Request for Veto** 

Dear Governor Newsom,

The City of Newport Beach urges your veto on, or at least a modification to, SB 330 (Skinner). Of particular concern is proposed section 66300(d)(1), which would prohibit redevelopment of nonconforming properties in the City.

While it is critically important to spur the production of homes, especially homes affordable to low-income households, SB 330 is not the appropriate answer.

Specifically, the City of Newport Beach opposes the following provisions in SB 330:

- Prohibits Redevelopment of Nonconforming Properties As drafted, Section 66300(d)(1) prohibits the demolition of any residential units unless all the units are replaced on the same project site. Although the City understands the desire to discourage the net loss of housing on a city-wide basis, the proposed new legislation would prohibit a loss of units on a site-specific basis. It is common in Newport Beach for older nonconforming duplex and triplex properties that do not meet current zoning, building and life safety requirements to be demolished and replaced with new single-family development. Furthermore, many of these nonconforming multifamily lots cannot be redeveloped to the same density due to changes in development standards. The City recognizes the loss of these units and has created new housing opportunity sites elsewhere in the City, which has resulted in a net increase of over 1200 new units constructed in the City during this current planning period.
- Creates A New Type Of Housing Project Application SB 330 creates a
   "preliminary" housing project application, which upon submittal, limits the
   number of public hearings, freezes nearly all project related fees, and starts
   the approval timeline before an application is deemed complete.

- Freezes Impact Fees For Up To 2 1/2 Years SB 330 would lock in place nearly all fees imposed on a housing project once a developer submits a "preliminary" application. Developers would have up to 2 1/2 years to begin construction and not be subject to any new fee, even an affordable housing fee.
- Essentially Bans Project Specific Fees SB 330 would prohibit a city from imposing any fee, except CEQA related fees, after the submittal of a "preliminary" application. This would essentially ban project specific fees because these fees cannot be determined until a city fully analyzes the project. It is important to note that all project impact fees are extensively regulated by state law and the constitution. Cities can only charge a fee to cover the cost of providing the service for which the fee is applied. It is illegal for cities to charge project fees and use the funds for other purposes.

The City of Newport Beach strongly questions the effectiveness of restricting essential housing related fees, particularly when SB 330 does not require any of the cost savings associated with these limitations to be passed on to the renter or purchaser of the housing unit.

For these reasons, the City of Newport Beach urges your veto on SB 330.

Sincerely,

Diane B. Dixon

Mayor

cc: The Honorable Nancy Skinner

The Governor's Office (via email: leg.unit@gov.ca.gov)

Senator John M. W. Moolach

Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris

**Newport Beach City Council** 

Grace Leung, City Manager

California Strategies, LLC

League of California Cities (Via email: cityletters@cacities.org)